

ASSA SSC: STATEHOOD (PWOG TASKFORCE)

By Mark Herr

January 2, 2025

Note: CHATGPT was utilized by the author to check for grammar, context, and formatting

Type of Paper: Policy

The Anti-Government Definition Standardization Act (AGDSA)

ABSTRACT

The Anti-Government Definition Standardization Act (AGDSA) addresses the lack of a unified and legally precise definition of "anti-government" across federal agencies. This legislation establishes a standardized definition that distinguishes lawful dissent, protected under the Constitution, from unlawful conduct, including violence, threats, and conspiracy against government institutions. By ensuring consistency, clarity, and adherence to constitutional principles, the AGDSA seeks to enhance inter-agency collaboration, prevent misuse, and protect civil liberties.

The Act mandates the creation of an Inter-Agency Task Force, chaired by the Department of Justice, to develop and oversee implementation guidelines while emphasizing transparency and accountability. It also requires federal agencies to adopt evidence-based criteria for identifying threats, provide training to their employees, and ensure regular review of the definition to adapt to evolving challenges.

Further, the AGDSA incorporates robust safeguards to protect First Amendment rights, including judicial review and public reporting requirements. By establishing clear operational parameters and promoting transparency, the legislation aims to balance the need for national security with the preservation of democratic freedoms.

Draft Legislation: The Anti-Government Definition Standardization Act (AGDSA)

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE

This Act may be cited as the "Anti-Government Definition Standardization Act."

SECTION 2. PURPOSE AND FINDINGS

(a) Purpose

The purpose of this Act is to establish a standardized, legally precise, and constitutionally sound definition of "anti-government" to ensure consistent application across all federal agencies while safeguarding the civil liberties guaranteed by the U.S. Constitution.

(b) Findings

1. The term "anti-government" lacks a uniform definition across federal bureaucracies, resulting in inconsistencies in its application.
 2. Non-standardized definitions pose risks to civil liberties, including free speech, assembly, and dissent.
 3. Standardization will enhance inter-agency collaboration, operational efficiency, and public trust in government practices.
 4. A legally precise definition is necessary to distinguish lawful dissent from unlawful conduct.
-

SECTION 3. STANDARDIZED DEFINITION

(a) Definition of "Anti-Government"

For purposes of federal law, "anti-government" shall mean:

1. **Unlawful Conduct:**
 - Actions, rhetoric, or organized activities intended to undermine or disrupt government authority, policies, or functions through unlawful means, including violence, threats, or conspiracy.
2. **Exclusions:**
 - Lawful expressions of dissent, peaceful protest, political advocacy, or criticism of government policies or actions shall not be considered "anti-government."

3. Key Indicators:

- Observable and evidence-based behaviors indicating intent to commit or facilitate unlawful acts targeting government institutions, officials, or operations.

(b) Context-Specific Applications

Federal agencies may apply the standardized definition in the context of their mandates but must adhere to the core elements outlined in subsection (a).

SECTION 4. IMPLEMENTATION AND OVERSIGHT

(a) Inter-Agency Task Force

1. Establishment:

- An Inter-Agency Task Force on Anti-Government Standardization (IATFAGS) is hereby established, chaired by the Department of Justice (DOJ) with participation from the Department of Homeland Security (DHS), the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), and other relevant agencies.

2. Responsibilities:

- Develop detailed guidelines for implementing the standardized definition across agencies.
- Provide training materials and ensure consistent application.
- Identify areas where the definition may require context-specific adaptation.

(b) Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Role

The OMB shall oversee the implementation process, ensuring compliance and uniformity across federal bureaucracies.

SECTION 5. PROTECTIONS FOR CIVIL LIBERTIES

(a) Constitutional Safeguards

1. Agencies must adhere to First Amendment protections, ensuring the definition is not used to suppress lawful dissent or political expression.
2. Any application of the definition must be evidence-based and not reliant solely on ideological affiliations or beliefs.

(b) Transparency

1. Agencies shall publish their specific guidelines on applying the definition, ensuring public awareness.
2. An annual report shall be submitted to Congress detailing:
 - Instances where the definition was applied.
 - Measures taken to protect civil liberties.

(c) Judicial Review

Individuals or groups adversely affected by the application of the definition may seek judicial review to ensure compliance with constitutional protections.

SECTION 6. TRAINING AND EDUCATION

(a) Training for Federal Employees

1. All federal employees responsible for applying the definition shall undergo mandatory training to understand its legal parameters and safeguards.
2. Training materials shall emphasize the distinction between lawful dissent and unlawful conduct.

(b) Public Awareness Campaign

The DOJ shall launch a public awareness campaign to educate citizens about their rights and the scope of the standardized definition.

SECTION 7. PERIODIC REVIEW AND REVISION

(a) Five-Year Review

Every five years, the DOJ, in consultation with other federal agencies and civil rights organizations, shall review the standardized definition to:

1. Ensure it remains relevant to evolving threats and challenges.
2. Address any concerns regarding overreach or misuse.

(b) Congressional Oversight

Congress shall conduct oversight hearings to assess the effectiveness and fairness of the implementation process.

SECTION 8. EFFECTIVE DATE

This Act shall take effect 180 days after its enactment.

SECTION 9. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS

Such sums as may be necessary are authorized to carry out the provisions of this Act.

SECTION 10. SEVERABILITY

If any provision of this Act, or the application thereof to any person or circumstance, is held invalid, the remainder of this Act and the application of its provisions to other persons or circumstances shall not be affected.

CONCLUSION

The Anti-Government Definition Standardization Act (AGDSA) represents a crucial step toward balancing national security with the protection of civil liberties. By creating a standardized, legally precise definition of "anti-government," the Act ensures consistency across federal agencies while safeguarding the rights of individuals to lawfully express dissent.

Through the establishment of an Inter-Agency Task Force, transparent guidelines, and regular oversight, the AGDSA promotes accountability and operational efficiency. Its provisions for periodic review and public reporting further strengthen the alignment of agency practices with democratic values. This legislation embodies a commitment to upholding constitutional principles while addressing the legitimate need to counter unlawful threats to government stability. By fostering clarity and fairness, the AGDSA fortifies trust in federal institutions and reinforces the foundational freedoms essential to a healthy democracy.

AUTHOR BIO – MARK HERR



Mark Herr is the visionary founder of the Center for Self Governance (CSG), an innovative educational organization dedicated to promoting the principles of individual, relational, and societal self governance; the American Social Science Association and the Washington Systematic Policy and Learning Center, national and state-based institutions created to promote the development and distribution of systematic policy and the networking of systematic practitioners.

With a profound belief in the power of informed laymen to shape their communities and nations, Mark has spent over a decade empowering individuals to understand and exercise their self-governance effectively and practice systematic politics competitively.

Mark is a sought-after speaker and educator, known for his engaging teaching style and ability to distill complex concepts into actionable insights. His work has been featured in various media outlets, and he continues to inspire individuals to take ownership of their role in maintaining their individual, relational, and societal self governance.